1	1	
2	2	
3	3	
4	4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
7	7	
8	SERVICES, LLC, et al.,	
9	Plaintiff, Case No. 2:11-	ev-01173-JCM-CWH
10	vs. ORDER	
11	JAMES HARRIS, et al.,	
12	Defendants.	
13		
14	The parties have submitted a Stipulated Protective Order (#137). The Court has approved the	
15	parties' protective in order to facilitate the parties' discovery exchanges. This order modifies the	
16	stipulated protective order with respect to any documents filed or submitted under seal. To the extent	
17	the parties seek to seal documents filed with the Court, they must seek leave pursuant to the	
18	requirements set forth in Local Rule 10-5(b) and applicable case law. See Kamakana v. City and	
19	County of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1180 (9th Cir. 2006). The failure to comply with these	
20	requirements may result in the request to seal being denied and the unsealing of papers filed under seal	
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
22	DATED: June 17, 2013.	
23	Const	
24	C.W. HOFFMAN, JR.	
25	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
26	26	
27		
28	28	